Ultradonut topology of the nuclear envelope
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The nuclear envelope is a unique topological structure formed by lipid membranes in eukaryotic cells. Unlike other membrane structures, the nuclear envelope comprises two concentric membrane shells fused at numerous sites with toroid-shaped pores that impart a “geometric” genus on the order of thousands. Despite the intriguing architecture and vital biological functions of the nuclear membranes, how they achieve and maintain such a unique arrangement remains unknown. Here, we used the theory of elasticity and differential geometry to analyze the equilibrium shape and stability of this structure. Our results show that modest in- and out-of-plane stresses present in the membranes not only can define the pore geometry, but also provide a mechanism for destabilizing membranes beyond a critical size and set the stage for the formation of new pores. Our results suggest a mechanism wherein nanoscale buckling instabilities can define the global topology of a nuclear envelope-like structure.
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The cell nucleus is bounded by two lipid bilayers arranged in a unique geometry called the nuclear envelope. These two bilayers are shaped into concentric spheres that are maintained at a remarkably uniform spacing of ~30–50 nm (1), and yet are fused together at thousands of pores (holes) at an average spacing of ~250–500 nm from each other [based on areal density measurements (2–4)]. At these pores, the membranes locally take on a toroid shape with a radius of curvature on the order of ~20 nm (Fig. 1). Lipid structures such as vesicles, spherocylinders (bacterial membranes), and biconcave discoids (red blood cell membranes) are all closed structures with no holes, and hence possess a zero genus (5) (Fig. 1). A donut, on the other hand, is also a closed structure but has one hole, and as a result has a genus of 1 (Fig. 1). If we fuse two donuts, we get a shape with a genus of 2, and if we fuse thousands of donuts and bend them to form a sphere, we obtain a nucleus-membrane–like structure (Fig. 1). This structure, therefore, can be thought of as an “ultradonut” with a genus on the order of thousands. How the two membranes assemble in a unique arrangement with such a large number of local fusions is a fundamental question in both physics and biology that is still unresolved (6–10). To seek an answer to this puzzle, we ask three natural questions based on the common notions in the field of membrane physics.

First, can membrane curvature-mediated interactions determine optimal pore number and interpore separation? This principle has been successfully used to predict the interactions of membrane-embedded proteins and nanoparticles (11–13). However, in the case of nuclear envelope, Fig. 1C (14) shows that the curved shapes of the membranes at the pores do not persist over interpore length scales: The “curvature memory” of the membranes is lost beyond ~100 nm and they remain essentially flat in between the pores. As a result, a pore does not sense the presence of other pores in its vicinity via the membrane, suggesting that the curvature-mediated interactions by themselves cannot determine the interpore separation, the critical length scale required to define the genus.

Second, can the system energy provide an optimal pore number and interpore separation? For a membrane, the system energy comprises three contributions (15): (i) the elastic bending energy of the surface given by the well-known Helfrich–Canham energy (16, 17), which penalizes geometric deviation from a flat state; (ii) the energy due to the in-plane stress that resists areal change; and (iii) the energy due to the out-of-plane stress that resists changes in volume (details are presented in Methods). We can now consider two concentric bilayer spheres with an in-plane stress $\lambda$ and out-of-plane stress $p$ connected with $n$ pores at equilibrium. Let us assume that the addition of each new pore changes the bending energy by $\epsilon_0$, area by $a_p$, and volume by $v_p$. For noninteracting $n$ pores (as discussed above), the system energy is $n(\epsilon_0 + \lambda a_p - p v_p)$, minus the energy of the spheres with no pores (a constant). Without invoking any specific values of the parameters, we can draw three possible outcomes: (i) $(\epsilon_0 + \lambda a_p - p v_p) > 0$, which implies that the addition of each new pore increases the system energy and it is, therefore, optimal to have zero pores. (ii) $(\epsilon_0 + \lambda a_p - p v_p) < 0$, which means that the addition of each new pore decreases the system energy and it is, therefore, optimal to have the maximum number of pores allowed by the surface area of the spheres; and (iii) $(\epsilon_0 + \lambda a_p - p v_p) = 0$, which suggests that system energy is insensitive to the number of pores and the system, therefore, can have an arbitrary number of pores. Therefore, all three outcomes do not furnish a critical length scale that determines the genus of nucleus membranes.

Third, can the nuclear pore complex (NPC), the massive protein structure housed at the pore sites, determine the pore spacing? The chronological sequence of pore formation and NPC assembly has been experimentally observed in a study by Kiseleva et al. (18). The study reveals that first an isolated pore is formed from the fusion of membranes and next, NPC proteins assemble at the pore site. Thus, pore nucleation precedes NPC assembly, and NPC–membrane interaction is unlikely to be the determinant of pore spacing.

Significance

Lipid membranes exhibit a variety of morphologies tailored to perform specific functions of cells and their organelles. A unique lipid structure is the nuclear envelope which houses the genome and plays a vital role in genome organization and signaling pathways. The nuclear envelope is composed of two fused membranes with thousands of toroid-shaped pores with extremely high curvatures, the origin of which remains an open question in biology. Here, we show that the architecture of this “ultradonut” may be generated by nanoscale buckling instabilities triggered by membrane stresses during nuclear growth. Our findings may help understand the impact of membrane mechanics on the geometry and the functionality of the nucleus and more generally, other double-membrane organelles in cells.
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In this paper, we investigate the local geometry and the stability of membranes in the presence of membrane stresses. Using nonlinear computational modeling, we show that modest in- and out-of-plane stresses can define a pore-like geometry and trigger buckling instabilities that can lead to sites for fusion between two bilayers. Whereas tensile in-plane stress confers remarkable robustness and is able to predict observed local pore geometries, small values of compressive stress, along with modest out-of-plane stress, destabilize the bilayer and trigger buckling instabilities. We propose a mechanism by which local nanoscale instabilities define a critical length scale and determine the observed global topology.

Results

We investigated the equilibrium shapes of a circular bilayer with a preexisting pore of radius $R = 42.5$ nm [observed pore radius in mammalian cells (19)]. We modeled the bilayer as an elastic and axisymmetric 2D surface. The symmetry enables simulation of just one curve (shown in yellow in Fig. 2; also shown is the resulting 3D surface of revolution) to compute the bilayer morphology. We primarily focused our attention on the outer (upper) membrane as the inner (lower) membrane is kinematically constrained due to its connection with an underlying filamentous sheet (nuclear lamina). We simulated the effects of the mechanical state of the membrane, defined by the in-plane stress ($\lambda$, also called tension) and the out-of-plane stress ($\rho$, also called pressure) on the bilayer geometry (Fig. 2). Because the pore radius was prescribed, bilayer geometry near and away from the pore is determined by a single parameter: the bilayer height (Fig. 2). The orthogonal curvatures in the circumferential and the meridional directions at the pore are given by the inverse of the prescribed pore radius and the computed bilayer...
Fig. 3. Impact of in- and out-of-plane stresses on membrane geometry. (A) Three-dimensional geometry of a bilayer with $R = 500$ nm, $\lambda = -0.15$ mN/m, and $p = 1.25$ Pa. (B) The deflection response of bilayers with different radii $R$ as a function of in-plane stress when subjected to critical out-of-plane stress $p_c$. The bilayers show initial expansion upon $\lambda$-reduction. However, they undergo snap-through buckling instability at critical $\lambda_c$. (C) Three-dimensional geometry of a buckled bilayer with $R = 500$ nm, $\lambda = -0.0024$ mN/m, and $p = 1.25$ Pa. (D) Two-dimensional geometry of an expanding bilayer for $P = 0$. The red curve is for $\lambda = -0.04$ mN/m and the blue curve is for $\lambda = -0.06$ mN/m. (E) Two-dimensional geometry of the buckled bilayer for $P = 1.25$ Pa. The red curve is for $\lambda = 0.0005$ mN/m and the blue curve is for $\lambda = -0.0024$ mN/m.
height. In addition to the stresses, we also examined the effect of the bilayer size on the equilibrium shape. Fig. 3 shows a strong influence of \( \lambda, p, R \) on the bilayer geometry and stability and reveals two key findings.

First, in the tension regime (positive \( \lambda \)-values), the bilayer exhibits a remarkable uniform height away from the pore. Fig. 3A shows the 3D geometry of one such simulated bilayer \((R = 500 \text{ nm}, \lambda = 0.15 \text{ mN/m}, \text{ and } p = 1.25 \text{ Pa})\) (see Fig. S2 for more bilayer geometries). The bilayer height initially decreases with the in-plane stress but becomes insensitive at higher in-plane stress values (Fig. 3B). Moreover, for higher normal stresses (parameter \( p \)), the bilayer height becomes highly insensitive to the in-plane stress. Collectively, these results show that the experimentally observed half-separation between bilayers of \( 15-25 \text{ nm} \) can be achieved for a wide range of \( \lambda, p, R \) values. In addition, these simulations also show that bilayers can maintain a uniform height over long distances in the tensile regime (Fig. S3).

Second, in the compression regime (negative \( \lambda \)-values), the bilayer height drops precipitously below a threshold in-plane stress at each of the chosen values of \( p \) and \( R \) (Fig. 3B). This drop shows the presence of a buckling instability where the outer bilayer moves toward the inner bilayer above a critical pressure \( (p_c) \) and below a critical negative in-plane stress \( (\lambda_c) \); the pressures in Fig. 3B are the critical pressures. For example, Fig. 3C shows the 3D geometry of a buckled bilayer with \( R = 500 \text{ nm}, \lambda = -0.0024 \text{ mN/m}, \text{ and } p = 1.25 \text{ Pa} \). This buckling instability is similar to a snap-through buckling instability observed in columns, plates, and shells (20). If only the in-plane stress is present, the simulated curved bilayer always buckles outward owing to the convex curvature at the pore. If \( p < p_c \), the bilayer continues to expand out and buckle outward for negative (compressive) in-plane stress. Thus, owing to the curvature at the pore, a buckling instability that moves the membrane inward uniquely requires the presence of a compressive normal stress.

The buckling response is, therefore, greatly influenced by the pore geometry, as has been previously observed in the context of short toroidal segments (21, 22). Fig. 3D and E shows the contrasting outward and inward buckling of a 500-nm-radius bilayer for \( p = 0 \) and \( p = p_c \), respectively. Interestingly, for \( p > p_c \), even tensile in-plane stress in the membrane inward can trigger inward buckling (Figs. S4 and S5). These results show that two separate bilayers can be brought together for fusion in a preexisting bilayer.
bilateral–pore geometry by triggering buckling instability in one or both of the bilayers (Fig. S6 and Movies S1–S3).

The buckling response is extremely sensitive to the area of the membrane in between the pores (bilayer size). Both \( k_\text{c} \) and \( p_\text{c} \) decrease with an increase in the bilayer size (Fig. 4A and B). The critical out-of-plane stress \( (p_\text{c}) \) rapidly increases as the radius decreases below \( R = 250 \) nm. The critical in-plane stress \( (k_\text{c}) \), corresponding to \( p = p_\text{c} \), on the other hand, shows a linear variation with the inverse of the area. The linear fit reveals that \( k_\text{c} = -23\kappa/A \) (\( \kappa \) is the bending modulus and \( A \) is the area of the simulated bilayer). These results indicate that the propensity for buckling depends significantly on the bilayer area in between adjacent pores. Fig. 4C shows the contrasting stability of the bilayers at a modest \( p = 1.25 \) Pa. For small interpore areas, the bilayer buckles outward at negative in-plane stresses, whereas for larger area, it bends inward. These results suggest that interpore spacings significantly larger than 500 nm should not exist because the bilayer is highly vulnerable to even nearly vanishing values of in-plane and normal compressive stresses. Consistent with these numerical findings, the interpore spacing in mammalian nuclei is observed to be in the range of \( \sim 250–500 \) nm. The proposed mechanism therefore provides a critical length scale and suggests that nanoscale buckling instabilities can potentially define the global topology of the double-membrane structure. Three important remarks are in order here.

First, it is conceivable that transient reductions in the in-plane stress in nuclear membranes due to fusion of cytoplasmic vesicles (18) can trigger buckling instabilities and set the stage for membrane fusion. Pioneering experimental and theoretical studies have indeed established that lipid uptake can generate compressive in-plane stress and trigger instabilities in planar membranes and spherical vesicles (23–25). The experimental study by Bassereau and coworkers (23) reveals that lipid recruitment can generate a compressive in-plane stress on the order of \( \sim -0.01 \) mN/m in spherical vesicles. This finding further supports our prediction that bilayers with radius smaller than 250 nm should be less vulnerable to buckling instabilities (Fig. 4B).

Second, because of the assumption of axisymmetry, our simulations predict a circular ring over which the two membranes meet (Fig. 3C and Fig. S7). This suggests that a new pore can form anywhere along this ring around a preexisting pore. Although the exact location cannot be predicted, the radial separation between the old and the new pores will be equal to the predicted critical length scale. Furthermore, the presence of other preexisting pores in the neighborhood will lead to similar circular rings around each pore. As a result, the intersection of these fusion rings will likely become “hot spots” for the creation of new pores (Fig. S7). In addition, the propensity of these hot spots to undergo membrane fusion could be further enhanced by aggregation of fusion proteins facilitated by curvature gradients at these junction sites.

Third, the role of the critical pressure is to counter the tendency to deform outward and make the membrane nearly flat (with a gentle bias toward inward deformation) (see the red curves in Fig. 3 D and E). Once this geometry is achieved, the membrane essentially responds as a planar membrane subjected to the applied in-plane stress. This is evident in Fig. 3E. The curved membrane in the pore region undergoes minimal deformation. The rest of the membrane undergoes deformation about the highest point which maintains its horizontal angle during the deformation. For these reasons, the highest point essentially serves as a fixed (clamped) support for the rest of the membrane. The interesting consequence is that the predicted critical in-plane stress is the same as would be calculated for a flat circular membrane with no curvature at the boundaries. The curved membrane system analyzed here, therefore, uniquely captures the combined role of the in- and out-of-plane stresses on membrane buckling. We note that the tendency to buckle outward could in principle come from the curvature of the nucleus itself. But, because the curvature of the nucleus is \( \sim 1/500 \) nm, and is orders of magnitude smaller than the pore curvature of \( \sim 1/20 \) nm, symmetry-breaking is likely dominated by the local pore geometry.

Discussion

The nuclear envelope is a unique lipid bilayer structure with an intricate nanoscale architecture and vital biological functions (26). Using simple arguments we showed that in- and out-of-plane stresses can give rise to the pore geometry and the geometric topology observed in cell nuclei. A minimal in-plane stress of \( \sim -0.00024 \) mN/m and an out-of-plane stress of \( \sim 1 \) Pa is sufficient to explain the geometry and the topology of the double-bilayer structure. As these stresses are much smaller than the maximum stresses membranes can endure (27–29), our findings may be of value to understanding the nanoarchitecture of the nuclear envelope. Because our model predicts a stable structure over a wide range of tensile stresses, nuclei may be able to easily adjust to the changes in nuclear stresses in a biological setting and yet acquire and maintain their geometry (30).

Our study reveals that minimal compressive out-of-plane stress in a bilayer can trigger inward buckling instabilities as long as the in-plane stress is vanishingly small. For modest stresses, our study predicts a critical interpore separation of 250–500 nm. Bilayers smaller than 250 nm but larger than 500 nm are highly unstable. As water can freely pass through the NPCs, we expect the transmembrane pressure across the two bilayers to be very small (nearly vanishing) (31). As shown in ref. 23, vesicle fusion leads to an in-plane stress of \( \sim -0.01 \) mN/m. If we invoke these physiological stresses, the length scale proposed in this study emerges as a natural outcome. A similar scale is observed in vivo for the interpore distance in nuclei in mammalian cells, suggesting perhaps that lipid membrane mechanics may be an important contributor to these geometries. This is a major finding of our analysis that provides a critical length scale and shows that the critical length scale is a function of the mechanical state of the nuclear membranes. As argued earlier, other common notions such as membrane-mediated interactions and optimal system energy cannot explain the optimal genus of a fused double-bilayer structure.

Historically, buckling instabilities have been a subject of much interest. Leonhard Euler derived the celebrated Euler buckling formula for slender columns in the mid-18th century and the buckling of plates and shells was analyzed in the early 20th century (20). In the last few decades, buckling instabilities have been used to model and understand the force-deformation response of a wide variety of biological structures including DNA (32), cytoskeletal filaments (33–36), membranes (37–41), white blood cells (42), viruses (43), and tissues (44–47). Here we present an example in which the buckling of curved membranes can explain the ultradotul topology of the nuclear envelope. Because intracellular mechanical stresses impact most membrane-bound organelles, it would not be surprising if buckling instabilities contribute to the geometry and the morphological remodeling of other intracellular structures.
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